

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/069,395	04/03/2002	Hideki Hisada	112061	3631
7	590 02/07/2005		EXAM	INER
Oliff & Berridge PO Box 19928			SMITH, TYRONE W	
Alexandria, VA 22320			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			2837	
			DATE MAILED: 02/07/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		<del></del>			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/069,395	HISADA ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Tyrone W Smith	2837			
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine - earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a sly within the statutory minimum of thi will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI e. cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed  ty (30) days will be considered timely.  THS from the mailing date of this communication.  BANDONED (35 U.S.C. & 133)			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24·N	lovember 2004.				
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ This	s action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.[	). 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☑ Claim(s) 1-28 is/are allowed. 6) ☑ Claim(s) 29 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	cepted or b) objected to drawing(s) be held in abeyantion is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) △ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) △ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document 3. △ Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea	ts have been received. ts have been received in A rity documents have been	pplication No			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list		received.			
	•				
Attachment(s)  Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	<b></b> □				
Notice of References Cited (PTO-992)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date /2/29/04	Paper No(	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

# DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

1. Applicant's arguments, see amendment after final, filed November 24, 2004, with respect to the references used, Koide et al (5934395), Tsuzuki et al (6018198), Yamaguchi et al (6546319), have been fully considered and are persuasive. The finality of 10/069395 has been withdrawn. Examiner submits a new non-final office action in the case.

### **Claim Objections**

2. Claim 29 objected to because of the following informalities: Examiner request that the Applicant delete "for" in the limitation "a first electric motor for that raises an engine to a speed for an ignition". Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claim 29 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Deguchi et al (6233508) in view of Itoyama et al (6253127).

Deguchi discloses a drive power control device for a hybrid vehicle, which includes a first electric motor (Figure 1 item 1) that raises an engine (Figure 1 item 2) to a speed for an ignition

Application/Control Number: 10/069,395 Page 3

Art Unit: 2837

(column 2 lines 24-59); and a control unit (Figure 1 item 16) for controlling the engine and the first electric motor. However, Deguchi does not disclose the control unit controlling the first electric motor so that the cranking torque during the motoring may be a predetermined torque short of a torque necessary for running the engine continuously.

Itoyama discloses an engine start up control device and control method, which includes a control unit (Figure 1 item 16) controlling the first electric motor (Figure 1 item 1) so that the cranking torque during the motoring may be a predetermined torque short of a torque necessary for running the engine continuously. Refer to the Figure 3; abstract; column 7 lines 55-67 and column 8 lines 1-11.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to combine Deguchi's drive power control device for a hybrid vehicle with Itoyama's an engine start up control device and control method. The advantage of combining the two would provide a system that can, after cranking the engine; the rotation speed of the engine must be quickly controlled to a target rotation speed so as to match the running state of the vehicle or the required power generation amount of the vehicle.

### Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 1-28 allowed.

#### Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tyrone W Smith whose telephone number is 571-272-2075. The examiner can normally be reached on weekdays from 8:30am to 5:00pm.

Application/Control Number: 10/069,395

Art Unit: 2837

Page 4

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Martin, can be reached on 571-272-2800 ext. 37. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Tyrone Smith Patent Examiner

Art Unit 2837

DAVID MARTIN

CHDERVISO"

THE EXAMINER

TECKINOCOUR CENTER 2800